

Ethical issues in conducting research with adolescents and young people (AYP)

Rashida Ferrand

Improving health worldwide

LONDON SCHOOL of HYGIENE &TROPICAL MEDICINE

www.lshtm.ac.uk

Ethical principles underpinning research conduct

Respect for people

- Autonomy: free to make own decision
- Protect those with diminished autonomy

Beneficence

- Do no harm
- Minimise risks and maximise benefits

Justice

- Fairness: participant and location of study
 - Relevance to community
 - Relevance to participant population
 - Not just based on ease of conduct

International ethical guidelines for health-related research involving humans. Geneva: Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences; 2016 https://cioms.ch/wp-content/ uploads/2017/01/WEB-CIOMS-EthicalGuidelines.pdf



Challenges in AYP: Respect

Capacity to understand and meet criteria for consent evolving

Phase of physical, social, moral, emotional and cognitive development

- Legal right to consent- prior to this can only assent
- Lack of legal guardianship

Orphans, child-headed households, "left-behind", street children, unaccompanied aliens etc

Socio-cultural expectation/requirement to defer to families



Challenges in AYP: Beneficence

- Socio-cultural taboos on behaviours in adolescence
- Harm and risk of AGYW with participation
- Lack of protection
- Lack of skills (or perception of need) to provide information
- Power imbalances and vulnerable

Interplays with ability to get consent /participation



Challenges in AYP: Justice

- Easier to recruit adults
- Lack of recognition of distinct needs and circumstances
- Ethical challenges
- Legal barriers: consent, criminalised behaviours



Key principles

- Frameworks are meant to protect participants not inhibit research and ethical process shouldn't be a tick box exercise : reflection and discussion
- One size does not fit all- grey area + heterogeneity
- Cognisance with the terminology & local regulatory context (youth, young people, adolescents, minors, juveniles, emancipated minors, mature minors, teenagers......)
- Use <u>clearly-defined</u> and <u>consistent</u> terminology
- Right to confidentiality and information



Best interests

- "Best interests": Broadly means well-being of an individual
- Determined by variety of factors
- -age, sex
- -social background,
- -physical and emotional security,
- -physical, intellectual, emotional, social and cultural development -disability, illness
- -risk of physical or psychological harm that may be caused by:
- subjecting AGYW to maltreatment, abuse, neglect, exploitation or such behavior towards others
 - any family violence
 - oppressive laws or state policies



Addressing Justice

Justify the reason for including the study population

- -information to be gained could not scientifically be obtained from adult subjects;
- -goal is to obtain knowledge relevant to the health needs of AYP
- -Risk is low and commensurate with importance of knowledge to be gained; -interventions at least as advantageous to the AYP as any available alternative
- Conducting research in a setting where cultural or legal taboo towards a group e.g. homosexuality, injection drug use, sex work

-Solicit support from authorities: avoid if not possible

-Form partnerships with local organizations / authorities: advocate for change



Criteria for consent

• **Disclosure:** provide relevant information about the study

including its potential risks and benefits, rights to privacy and limitations to these rights (investigator's disclosure obligations)

• **Understanding**: Can appreciate and understand information provided

may be compromised by age, literacy, capacity to understand, severe physical or mental illness affecting comprehension

• Capacity: possess the decision-making ability

ability to understand material information, appreciate the situation and its consequences, consider the treatment options, and communicate a choice

Voluntariness: free of coercion and voluntary in nature



Addressing Autonomy

- Unless specific legal provisions, consent should be given by AYP alone
 Capacity to consent is related to the nature and complexity of the research
 If meet criteria for consent: then can consent
- Recognition that AYP have capacity & parental consent isn't absolute
- Even if no requirement to get consent/assent- ethically AYP should be meaningfully involved in the decision making process

Waivers for "parental consent"

- Cannot be done without waiver (Affects validity of research)
- Minimal risk
- Demonstrate the ability to check that AYP understand the research
- Safeguards in place to address any potential harms
- Acting in best interest of AYP-does not affect rights/welfare





Addressing beneficence

- If conflict between confidentiality and disclosure:
- -act in "best interests"

If obligated to break confidentiality in best interests- inform AYP of possibility <u>before</u> their participation

- If a conflict between legal obligation and an ethical duty:
- -obtain advice from governing ethics body
- -if no guidance or advice contrary to best interest principle, then researcher should always act ethically i.e. in the interest of the AYP
- -need to be cognisant that actions may have legal implications
- -not a light undertaking and therefore needs <u>consultation</u> and <u>responsible</u>, respectful and effective action including <u>risk mitigation</u>

References

Guidance on ethical considerations in planning and reviewing research studies on sexual and reproductive health in adolescents. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018

https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/273792/9789241508414eng.pdf?ua=1

Guidelines for Conducting Adolescent HIV Sexual and Reproductive Health Research in Kenya. Government of Kenya Ministry of Health; 2015

https://icop.or.ke/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/Adolescents-Guidance-on-HIV-SRH-Research.pdf

